P ENT COOPERATION TREA

BEST AVAILABLE COPYET

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

Τo

Assistant Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office Box PCT Washington, D.C.20231 ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
05 January 2000 (05.01.00)

International application No.
PCT/US99/09410

International filing date (day/month/year)
30 April 1999 (30.04.99)

Applicant

BAECK, Andre, Cesar et al

ļ.	
1.	The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:
	X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:
	29 November 1999 (29.11.99)
	in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:
	·
2.	The election X was
	was not
	made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).
	$\dot{\epsilon}$
٠.	

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

R. E. Stoffel

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 24	AUG	2000
WIPO		 PO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's	or age	nt's file reference	T	Can Natification of Transmitted of International	
1			FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
				District data (day/manth/mar)	
Internationa			International filing date (day/mont)	h/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 01/05/1998	
PCT/US9			30/04/1999	01/05/1998	
International C07K19/		nt Classification (IPC) or na	ational classification and IPC		
Applicant THE PRO	OCTE	R & GAMBLE COM	PANY et al.		
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 					
2. This F	REPO	RT consists of a total of	5 sheets, including this cover s	sheet.	
b (\$	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets.				
3. This r	eport	contains indications rela	ating to the following items:		
1	\boxtimes	Basis of the report			
II		Priority			
111		Non-establishment of o	opinion with regard to novelty, in	ventive step and industrial applicability	
IV		•			
V	\boxtimes		inder Article 35(2) with regard to ons suporting such statement	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
VI		Certain documents cit	red	·	
VII					
VIII	×	Certain observations of	n the international application		
Date of sub	missio	n of the demand	Date of	f completion of this report	
29/11/1999			21.08.2	2000	
	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			ized officer	
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich			Keller	r, Y	
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ione No. +49.89.2399.7419	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/09410

1.	This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in
	response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to
	the report since they do not contain amendments.):

	the report since they do not contain amendments.):						
	Description, pages:						
	1-66	3	as originally f	filed			
	Clai	ms, No.:					
	1-14	i	as originally f	filed			
2.	The	amendments have	resulted in th	ne cancel	lation of:		
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
3.		This report has bee	en establishe eyond the dis	d as if (so sclosure a	ome of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):		
4.	Add	litional observations	s, if necessary	y:			
٧.	 Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 						
1.	Sta	tement					
	Nov	velty (N)	Yes: No:		7, 8, 10, 13 1-6, 9, 11, 12, 14		
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14		
	Ind	ustrial applicability (IA) Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/09410

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 9407998

D2: Linder, M., et al., The Journal of Biochemical Chemistry, 1996, Vol. 271,

pages 21268-21272

D3: WO 9305226

- 1. D1 discloses recombinant proteins comprising e.g one ore more CBDs fused to an endoglucanase catalytically active domain (CAD) (page 2, line 5 to page 4 line 12; claims). The hybrid protein can contain CADs and CBDs from various origins (page 8, line 6 to page 9, line 35). Said protein can be used in detergent compositions (abstract, claims). This recombinant protein improves the detergent properties in view of e.g fuzzing, depilling, harshness reduction i.e softness (Abstract).
- 2. D2 discloses a recombinant protein comprising 2 CADs, this protein has increased affinity for cellulose, thus increasing the affinity of an enzyme for its substrate (Abstract; page 21272, last paragraph).
- 3a. In view of D1 the subject matter of claims 1-6, 9, 11, 12, 14 do not meet the requirements of Article 33(2)PCT.
- 3b. The subject-matter of claims 7, 8, 10, 13, is not anticipated by the documents cited in the international search report.

 Therefore, said claim meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 4. Furthermore it seems that even if novelty of said claims could be restored inventive step could not be acknowledged since it is clear from the teaching of the prior art (e.g D2) that CADs have affinity to cellulose. Thus, it is obvious for

the skilled person to use CADs, in combination with softening agents, to target softening agents to the fabric in fabric care compositions.

- 5. As afore mentioned it would be obvious for the skilled person to combine a double CBD comprising protein as described in D2 (abstract) with a softening agent to arrive to the subject-matter of claim 7.

 Thus, claim 7 does not meet the requirements of Art 33(3) PCT.
- 6. Claims 8, 10, 13 do not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT. Said claims merely consist in a particular selection among equivalent possible solutions. Such a selection could be regraded as involving an inventive step only if a

surprising or unexpected effect for the skilled person could be shown, this seem

not to be the case.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

All claims do not meet the requirements of Art 5 and 6 PCT do to the vague wording used and/or the absence of technical features.

Indeed a "softening protein" has no defined meaning for the skilled person, and it seems unlikely that any protein has softening properties. Nevertheless, if this should be the case the "origin" of the softening properties should be clearly defined.

For instance claim 1 is devoid of any technical feature, claim 8 it is not clear which enzyme or wheat proteine has the alleged properties.

Furthermore, it seems that there is no data in the present application that an unexpected or surprising effect for the skilled person exists in regard to the claimed softening agents or fabric care composition.